Effect of environment on the interferometry of clocks Harshit Verma, Magdalena Zych, Fabio Costa



CREATE CHANGE



¹Centre for engineered quantum systems (EQUS), School of Mathematics and Physics, University of Queensland, St Lucia 4072, QLD, Australia ²School of Mathematics and Physics, University of Queensland, St Lucia 4072, QLD, Australia

INTRODUCTION

- Objective: To probe genuine relativistic effects in quantum systems.
- Proposal: Using internal, dynamical degree of freedom (DOF) as a clock to capture time dilation^[1]. Here, we have a
 two-level system as a clock with the Hamiltonian H₀.
- For example: Energy levels of an atom, vibrational levels of a molecule etc.
- Problem: In an interferometric setup, the internal DOF is susceptible to environmental effects/ noise.







 D_2

- BS_1 / BS_2 : Beamsplitters • PS : Phase shifter • γ_1 / γ_2 : Paths - $|1\rangle \& |2\rangle$ • D_1 / D_2 : Detectors • M_1 / M_2 : Mirrors
- Initialization of clock: $|\psi_{clock}\rangle = \frac{|0\rangle + |1\rangle}{\sqrt{2}}$
- Evolution in proper time: $| \tau \rangle := e^{-i H_{\rm int} \tau} | \psi_{\rm clock} \rangle$
- Entanglement of the clock with the path degree of freedom of the particle by virtue of time dilation^[1]:

 $|\psi_{\text{out}}\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} [|1\rangle |\tau_1\rangle + e^{i\chi} |2\rangle |\tau_2\rangle]$

- Interferometric Visibility: $\mathcal{V} = |\langle au_1 | au_2
 angle|$
- Visibility depends on the difference in proper time ($\Delta \tau$):

$$U_{\rm AD}(\tau^*) = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \sqrt{1-p} & \sqrt{p} & 0 \\ 0 & -\sqrt{p} & \sqrt{1-p} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} p = \sin^2 \theta \\ \lambda = \frac{\theta}{\tau^*} \end{bmatrix} H_{\rm AD} = -\frac{\theta}{\tau^*} |\psi_1\rangle\langle\psi_1| + \frac{\theta}{\tau^*} |\psi_2\rangle\langle\psi_2| \\ \equiv 2i\lambda [|10\rangle\langle01| - |01\rangle\langle10|]$$

 $H_0 = (E_0|0\rangle\langle 0| + E_1|1\rangle\langle 1|) \otimes 1 = E_0|00\rangle\langle 00| + E_0|01\rangle\langle 01| + E_1|10\rangle\langle 10| + E_1|11\rangle\langle 11|$



COMPARISON BETWEEN MODELS

0.8

0.6

0.4

0.2

 \sim

Intuitive regime: $\mathcal{V} \downarrow$ due to

AD channel	DP channel
PD channel	– – JC model

1.5

 $\mathcal{V} = \left| \left\langle \psi_{\text{clock}} \right| e^{-iH_{\text{int}}\Delta\tau} \left| \psi_{\text{clock}} \right\rangle \right|$

• Complementarity relation^[2]:

$\mathcal{V}^2 + \mathcal{D}^2 \le 1$

ENVIRONMENT

- Model: Extra DOFs interacting with the clock system^[3].
- Contradictory intuitions due to environment:

 - Larger Hilbert space of clock system $\Longrightarrow \mathcal{D}^{\uparrow} \Longrightarrow \mathcal{V}$
- Intuitive regime: For low noise, small $\Delta au, \mathcal{V}$

VISIBILITY WITH NOISY CLOCKS

 U_{noise} for the extended system can be known for standard noise models – amplitude damping (AD), phase damping (PD) and depolarizing (DP) channels.

- increased dimensionality of extended system
- Counter-Intuitive Regime: \mathcal{V} than that without environment.
- Peculiar behavior of a PD
 Channel







- Using eigendecomposition, we get the corresponding Hamiltonian- $\rm H_{\rm noise}.$ The total Hamiltonian is:

 $H_{\rm int} = H_0 + H_{\rm noise} + H_{\rm env}$

JAYNES CUMMINGS MODEL



2 4 6 8 100 2 4 6 8 10 Δτ ΔΕ ΔΕ

KEY POINTS

Noise on internal DOF affects the Visibility.
Locally acting environment also subscribes to time dilation.
Visibility may also be more than that without the environment for AD and DP channel based noise models.
For different types of environments, visibility varies in susceptibility to λ – the noise parameter.
Important implications for actual experiment.



 [1] Zych, M., Costa, F., Pikovski, I., & Brukner, C., Nature Communications, 2(1) (2011).
 [2] B.-G. Englert, Phys. Rev. Lett. 77, 2154 (1996).
 [3] Heinosaari, T., & Ziman, M. (2011). The Mathematical Language of Quantum Theory: From Uncertainty to Entanglement. Cambridge University Press.